

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/594,597	Applicant(s) IKEDA ET AL.	
	Examiner STEPHEN KAPUSHOC	Art Unit 1634	

All Participants:

Status of Application: Amended after non-final action

(1) STEPHEN KAPUSHOC.

(3) _____.

(2) Linda Parker.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 11 March 2010

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The claims of 11/16/2009 in light of the Election of 02/26/2009 were discussed. It was agreed that the Examiner would withdraw the restriction requirement between the particular position IVS3+6151 (as required by the instant claims) and the Elected Haplotype of 02/26/2009 which includes that position.

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Stephen Kapushoc/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1634

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)